

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant One hundred and ten dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of July 1856 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said Defendant in attorney to. This judgment is subject to a credit of \$15th paid November 18 1856

Arlington Bankers Executrix of William Johnson
against

Josua Grampier and James H. Bell

Deft } In Debt

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendants one hundred and seventy one dollars twenty three cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from from the 1st day of March 1857 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended and the said Defendants in attorney to.

William M. Jones

Deft } In Debt

against

W. Williams surviving Major of himself and Ross E. Edwards

Deft)

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant five hundred dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of March 1853 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said Defendant in attorney to. This judgment is subject to a credit off 150. paid October 15th 1855.

Delilah Vickt. widow of James Vickt. dec'd.

Deft } In Debt

against

Allie E. Sawyer

Deft)

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant thirty five dollars with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of December 1854 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and her costs by her about her suit in this behalf expended. And the said Defendant in attorney to.

Alexander Norfleet who sue for the benefit of Matthew St. Moore

Deft } In Debt

against

Anthony M. Howell

Deft)

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant eighty seven dollars and Seventy one cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 16th day of June 1857 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said Defendant in attorney to.

Orville T. Hill who sue for the benefit of John R. Richelle

Deft } In Debt

against

Edward Weston and Jas. W. Garrison

Deft)

J. B. J.

Pl. vs. D.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendants four hundred and thirty five dollars the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of December 1856 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said Defendants in attorney to.